

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT	· · ·	
AIRS ID#: 0951239 DA'	TE: 12/13/06	ARRIVE: <u>1:41 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>4:00 PM</u>	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
FACILITY NAME: RIN				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 12601 AVALON I	RD (SR 545)		
	SOUTH OF WINT	TER GARDEN 34787		
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL:	PHO	ONE: (561)820-8415	
CONTACT NAME: Te	erry Upson, Operations For	reman WO Plant PHO	ONE: (407)238-1140	
REMITTANCE YEAR:	EN	TITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/4/20 (effectiv		
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATU	\underline{JS} (check $\underline{\mathbf{V}}$ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-C	COMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriat		<u> </u>	4, F.A.C.	
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emiss		ng this site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
2. Are emissions from	m silos, weigh hoppers (ba	atchers), and other enclosed storage	ge and conveying equipment	
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
		silo loading rate, or at least at the	minimum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes No	
4. Are emissions from	m the weigh hopper (batch	ner) operation controlled by the si	lo dust collector? (If answer	
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question	o questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If on 5.)	⊠Yes □ No	
		during the visible emissions test?- e batching rate representative of the	Yes No	
duration?				
from the silo dust	collector, are the visible er	 operation are controlled by a dumissions tests of the weigh hopped presentative of the normal batching 		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to ⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	the Yes No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)	le 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable (3) both, stationary and relocatable 	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plan	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking area application of water or environmentally safe do 	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: as, stock piles, and yards? lust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and o re-entrainment, and from building or work area4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of					
particulate matter from stock piles?b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to miti	igate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Begin{array}{c} Yes \Boxed No \Boxed Yes \Boxed No				
DARTIN, SDECIAL CONDITIONS AND DEOCEDIDE	CC Dula 42 210 200(4)(4)4 E A C				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>.S</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(a)4., r.A.C.				
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially 	ut replacement? different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4	he owner submit a new and complete				
Norma Alli	12/13/06				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	~ 12/13/06				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
there to perform the VE's.	n of the West Orange Plant and Bill Arlington, Consultant who was				
Everything went Ok 0% opacity on all. One truck loaded out on the right side, while loading up. Sprin	ment was pumped up in each half. One more tanker for Fly Ash. unklers watering the piles of materials, which have walls around. Paved				
ground wet. No dust leaving the property. No objectionable odors noticed. Mr. Upson provided a copy of the fuel consumption and a copy of the total amount of materials processed in Nov '06, as an example of their records.					
Loading rates: First silo (cement) from left to right (right half-side) 25.52 To First silo (cement) from left to right (lefts half-side) 26.03 To Second silo (Fly Ark) 26.03 To Fig. (75 min * 60 min 21.54)	ons/50 min. * 60 min = 31.236 TPH				
Second silo (Fly Ash) 26.93 Tons / 75 min. * 60 min = 21.544 TPH Third silo (cement) (right half-side) 26.21 Tons./50 min * 60 min = 31.452 TPH Third silo (cement) (left half-side) 26.26 Tons/50 min * 60 min = 31.512 TPH					